Versatile systems for airborne environmental research

DIMO with16.5 m wing span and UMARS-2 with 5 m approximately to scale. Photos Cyril Hertz and Marc Gerber

On this background, I received this email 6 years ago:



X-From_: Mathias.Rotach@meteoswiss.ch **Fri May 30 17:19:01 2008** Subject: FW: New COST Action "Unmanned aerial systems (UAS) in atmospheric research"

Lieber Bruno

ich habe diese Anfrage vom COST Büro bekommen. Ich weiss, du stehst eher auf die bemannten 'aerial systems', aber trotzdem meine Frage: kennst du jemanden IN DER SCHWEIZ, der sich mit den unbemannten befasst oder ein Interesse haben könnte dafür?

Translation:

Dear Bruno

I got this request from the COST office. I know you are rather involved in manned aerial systems, but, nevertheless my question: Do you know someone in Switzerland who deals with unmanned systems, or could be interested?





Unmanned Meteorological Airborne Research System Bruno Neininger with Hanfried Hesselbarth, the former assistants Oliver Ensslin, Marc Gerber, and Manuel Metz, continued with Thomas Matti, David Braig, Adrian Räz and more than 20 students engaged in diploma theses, supported by internal funding of the School of Engineering in Winterthur, and COST Switzerland.

























MANNED OR UNMANNED - DOES THIS REALLY MATTER ?

Part of this talk is about work and experience within the above-mentioned Institutions:

- Airborne Research Australia (at Flinders University, Adelaide)
- Metair AG a spin-off of ETH Zurich (1990)

Both working with small (manned) aircraft

 Zurich University of Applied Sciences School of Engineering, with UAV «UMARS» Member of COST Action ES0802: «Unmanned aerial systems (UAS) in atmospheric research» The intention of my talk is to show – or at least to trigger a discussion about – that for some applications, manned aircraft are still easier to handle than UAV's.

This is NOT against RPAS – we have our own (UMARS), admire the success with RPAS everywhere, and are convinced, that progress will continue.

It's about discussing the short-term options which we have for the next few years.

It is also about combining the two types of systems.

Concernance of

Manned airborne measurements are known to be expensive, and that the users are dependent from large, unflexible operators.

This is not the case if you are using or contracting SERAs: Small Environmental Research Aircraft, operated in teams of 2 to 4 people (including YOU if you wish!)

For many applications, the time between the integration of the system until getting a result is only a few days, and the costs per day of measurements are in the order of 10 to 20 k€, which can deliver Laser- or Hyper-Spectral Scanning of several 10 km² or any other application.



By the way:

Some roots of this type of work started 30 years ago at ETHZ, within the Institutes for Geodesy, and for Atmospheric Physics, performing flights for refractive index measurements in terrestrial networks around 1985.

LABORATORIUM FÜR ATMOSPHÄRENPHYSIK ETH ZÜRICH, SCHWEIZ

Refractive Index measurements for Geodesy





LABORATORIUM FÜR ATMOSPHÄRENPHYSIK ETH ZÜRICH, SCHWEIZ

Equipping and using several small and larger aircraft





Using today's small aircraft, we can fly both high ...

(6 km over Bâle in this case)



... or low



... in Switzerland ...



... or in Australia

performing Laser-Scanning with RIEGL Q560 & Q680 and Hyperspectral Scanning with Specim EAGLE & HAWK

This was one of the flight patterns (diameter 30 km)



in order to get net budgets for CO₂ and H₂O

The idea using RPAS was born during a joint campaign in Sept 2008 with Jorg Hacker from Airborne Research Australia, where we flew two manned DIMOs up to five hours over the Savanna south of Darwin



Also in Switzerland, we were active with a project needing low flying in the Reuss Valley in order to study the sources and distribution of Methane in the atmosphere. (Nina Buchmann et al., ETHZ)



These were the methane sources:



The focus was on CH₄ along this rural valley and the advection from the surroundings



Navigare 2014, Bruno Neininger, ZHAW, Slide 29

MetAir

With the same technique, we can also measure emissions e.g. from a large coal power plant (Aug. 2012 in Germany) ...



... or from a city like Paris (March-19, 2014)



We started a first test using RPAS in August 2011



This was the flight pattern of the manned aircraft



... while this funnel was flown by UMARS



...sometimes supplemented with one or two

four days with 11 flights in total (25 to 80' @ mornings & evenings); 9 of them with good data.

<image><image>

eight-shaped cross sections

â



Navigare 2014, Bruno Neininger, ZHAW, Slide 36









Even a third airborne system was active:



... a tethered balloon system with p,T,u,wind, and CH₄







The procedures which we had to follow in order to coordinate the DIMO (manned), UMARS (unmanned) and the tethered balloon were quite reasonable, i.e. we could define them on our own, and then FOCA confirmed that this is acceptable.

It was mainly «see and avoid»



Navigare 2014, Bruno Neininger, ZHAW, Slide 43

Epold (down

And this was basically the safety concept:

UNE

Without seeing the balloon and UMARS already from distance (>1km) on the ground, we did not enter the zone with the DIMO. All other means like announcements via SMS, or talking on an air to ground frequency was «nice to have». This was the only sensor in this campaign: the new turbulence probe «Z_probe» for fast 3-d-wind, temperature and humidity



Navigare 2014, Bruno Neininger, ZHAW, Slide 45

Calculating wind after Lenschow, 1986, corrected by Hans Richner, ETHZ, 1987)



Definition of attitude and flow angles for wind computations. ψ is the yaw, θ the pitch , and ϕ the roll angle; α the angle of attack and β the sideslip. In the front view (top right frame), the horizontal reference axis is the intersection of the plane perpendicular to the aircraft's longitudinal axis with the horizontal plane in the earth-fixed coordinate system. (After Lenschow, 1986. Please note that in the original drawing the angle of attack is shown falsely, the explaining text, however, is correct.)

Bruno Neininger, MetAir, slide 1

$$\begin{split} u &= \frac{-U_a}{D} [\sin\psi\cos\theta + \tan\beta(\cos\psi\cos\phi + \sin\psi\sin\theta\sin\phi) \\ &+ \tan\alpha(\sin\psi\sin\theta\cos\phi - \cos\psi\sin\phi)] \\ &+ u_p - l(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\sin\theta\sin\psi - \frac{d\psi}{dt}\cos\psi\cos\theta) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} v &= \begin{array}{c} -U_{a} \left[\cos\psi\cos\theta - \tan\beta(\sin\psi\cos\varphi - \cos\psi\sin\theta\sin\varphi) \right. \\ &+ \tan\alpha(\cos\psi\sin\theta\cos\varphi + \sin\psi\sin\varphi) \right] \\ &+ v_{p} - I(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\sin\psi\cos\theta + \frac{d\theta}{dt}\cos\psi\sin\theta) \end{split}$$

 $w = \int_{D}^{-U_{a}} [\sin\theta - \tan\beta\cos\theta\sin\phi - \tan\alpha\cos\theta\cos\phi]$ $+ w_{p} + I \frac{d\theta}{dt}\cos\theta$

with $D = \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \alpha} + \tan^2 \beta$

where U_a: the true airspeed and I: the distance between the navigation platform and the air sensing platform along the longitudinal axis of the aircraft u_p, v_p, w_p: the components of the aircraft velocity in the earth-fixed coordinate system (obtained, e.g. from an inertial platform or from GPS navigation).

principles of measuring wind with METAIR-DIMO



Bruno Neininger, MetAir, slide 2

principles of measuring wind with METAIR-DIMO

The principle of the wind measurements:

Measuring the speed of the aircraft in the earthfixed system by GPS (Doppler), the fine movements by 3-axis accelerometers, the true air speed with the two flow angles (up/side) by five-hole probe. The difference is the 3-d wind.

Measuring the attitude angles (true heading, pitch and roll) by carrier phase detecting GPS (prec/acc/res 0.2°/0.5°/5 Hz)



A newer technique combining GPS (two antennas) and accelerometers in one box (2.4 kg, 24 x 12 x 8 cm³, but 40 kEUR) improved all specs by an order of magnitude (0.02° / 0.05° / 100 Hz). Position/attitude is not anymore the limiting factor, but, the flow measurement.

The Result then looks e.g. like this (meandering in the valley)



Bruno Neininger, MetAir, slide 4

principles of measuring wind with METAIR-DIMO

ECO Dimono	
Iransponder	available
position and attitude angles	xsens
data acquisition system	ZHAW
post processing	same
O₃ by UV absorption	
NO ₂ , NOx, NOy, HNO ₃ , PAN, Ox	NO ₂ opt.
fast temperature (10 Hz)	thermocoup.
dew point (1 Hz, new 10 Hz)	SnowWhite
wind (slow, horizontal)	same
3-d-Wind (10 Hz)	Z_probe
zGND (radar)	available
zGND (laser, 100 Hz)	
Aerosols >0.3 / >0.5 μm	available
Aerosol 0.320 μm	possible
Aerosol >10 nm	possible
СО	perhaps slow and inaccurate
CO ₂ etc. grab samples (flasks)	
CO ₂ accurate (LI-6262 mod)	
CO₂ fast (LI-7500 mod)	possible
H ₂ O fast (LI-7500 mod)	possible
Photometer (Irradiation)	available
fast CH₄ (5 Hz)	perhaps slow and inaccurate
vertical camera	available
IR and/or NDVI	possible
LaserScanner RIEGL Q560	no way
Hyper Spectral (Eaglet)	perhaps soon?

of Applied Sciences

Zurich University

There is space for more sensors. However, this capacity was not used yet in this first campaign. Measured parameters on board of METAIR-DIMO (left) and those that could be provided (carried) by ZHAW-UMARS in the near future (green: already done)

That's what we promised: Easy to handle.

And that's what we reached until now: Two or three skilled and well trained people in the field.



i.e. the same salaries as for the manned flights, with also the same efforts needed for the post-processing.



... were exclusively done by manned flights







This was a Laser Scan of the research area Chamau / Reuss Valley we have made with RIEGL Q560 from ARA on METAIR-DIMO in August 2008 av



Outlook: The RPAS as passive reflector or active device (e.g. with a special light source) in order to use DOAS or other types of spectroscopy.



Another optical application could be a «flying reference» for hyperspectral sensing of the earth's surface.

For in-situ measurements, gradients could be measured directly.





Therefore, we think, that one should check at least the following criteria before developing or investing in a UAV application:

- Is the spatial scale adequate?
 Within the km² is fine, while a larger scale is questionable.
- ✓ Air spaces involved (ATC)?
- ✓ Do I have a chance to fulfill the legal constraints?
- ✓ Is it a routine application with many repetitions?
- Is it dangerous or impossible to fly with a manned aircraft, but feasible with a UAV?
- ✓ What is the value of the payload and the risk to damage or destroy it?
- ✓ What is the time of the day and the weather when I need my application?
- Do I have the experience and the capacity to overlook and organize everything from the concept and then the path via the campaign(s) to the results?
- What's about costs? Ask an operator of manned aircraft and compare; you know now at least two, but can look into <u>www.eufar.net</u> for more.



We think, that the following is possible and useful during the next few years:

- joint flight patterns independently controlled (as done in the Reuss Valley)
- testing UAV equipment in underwing pods
- development of automatic control to follow a manned aircraft
- using the UAS as a retro-reflector for spectrometric methods
- extending flights into the night (mainly meteorology – less an issue for geomatics)
- near-field of measuring stations (mainly met. & chem. as well)

Those who like UAV's mainly because they can apply their know-how and skills for robotics could help to extend the scope for costeffective manned airborne applications, rather than trying to replace them all. (It's not because I like to fly – it's by rational reasoning.)



thank you!

(photo Marc Gerber, ZHAW)